

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,010 10/03/2000		10/03/2000	Martin James Lucas	NAI1P157/00.091.01	4542
28875	7590	09/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC			CHEN, SHIN HON		
P.O. BOX 72	21120				
SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2131	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



## Applicant(s) Application No. LUCAS ET AL. 09/678.010 **Advisory Action Art Unit** Examiner 2131 Shin-Hon Chen -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \( \sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_ 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Nachenberg does not explicitly disclose a measurement value indicative of an amount of data processing performed, but Banga discloses indicate a threshold value of data processed to increase the efficiency of the process. 2. The claim language does not disclose calculating a TOTAL amount of data processed during the scan, therefore the amount of booster and stopper constitute as an amount of data processing performed. 3. The complexity of tests of the virus scanning operation is disclosed by Nachenberg in column 2 lines 26-40 and column 6 line 39-40, where heuristics of different complexity are applied. 4. The summing of values indicate the number of boosters or stoppers found during the operation. In conclusion, the claims need to be more specific in order to differentiate the teachings of prior art and the claim invention.

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**